

심폐재활

게시일시 및 장소 : 10 월 18 일(금) 08:30-12:20 Room G(3F)

질의응답 일시 및 장소 : 10 월 18 일(금) 10:00-10:45 Room G(3F)

P 1-66

The Effects of Recumbent Ergometer Exercise on Cerebral Blood Flow and Ankle Brachial Index

Eun Young Han^{1*}, Sang Hee Im², Seong-Dae Kim^{3†}, Il-Gyu Jeong^{3†}

Jeju National University, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine¹, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Department and Research Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine², Hannam University, Department of Sports Science³

Objectives

To investigate the effects of recumbent bicycle ergometer exercise on cerebral blood flow velocity (CBFV), arterial stiffness index and rate pressure product (RPP).

Methods

Seventeen young male volunteers (20.2±1.5 yrs) were enrolled in this study from January, 2018 to June, 2018. They were asked to perform the incremental bicycle ergometer exercise (SRH100H[®], NanoBioLife Inc, Seoul, Korea) (Monark Ergometer 881[®], Varberg, Sweden_ three times in the upright, 65° recumbent (R), and 30°postures with a week interval, respectively. Exercise intensity was set initially at 50W and increased by 25W every 2 minutes to 150W. CBFV in middle cerebral artery (MCA) (SONARA Transcranial Doppler ultrasound[®], VIASYS Co. Ltd., USA) and arterial stiffness including cardio ankle vascular index (CAVI) , ankle brachial index (ABI) and augmentation index (Aix) (VaSera VS-2000 instrument[®], Fukuda Denshi Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) were measured at rest, 5 minutes, 10 minutes during exercise and 10 minutes recovery.

Results

CBFV in 65°R and 30°R was significantly higher than in upright posture at 5 minutes (75±14, 76±8 vs. 63±12 cm/s, p<.05) and 10 minutes during exercise (87±20, 88±18 vs. 69±19 cm/s, p<.05). ABI in 65°R was significant higher than in upright posture at immediately after exercise (1.03±0.08 vs. 0.94±0.11, p<.05). AIX in 65°R was significant lower than in upright posture at 10 minutes after exercise (0.68±0.10 vs. 0.84±0.15, p<.05). Rate pressure product (RPP) in 65°R and 30°R was significantly lower than in upright posture at immediately after exercise (18446.5±2407.5, 17639.5±2474.6 vs. 24186.7±4322.5 mmHg×beat/min, p<.05)

Conclusion

The bicycle ergometer exercise in recumbent posture has more beneficial effects on cerebral blood flow, arterial stiffness and myocardial O₂ consumption than in upright position. Therefore, it might be suggested for severely deconditioned patients.

Acknowledgment :1. This work was supported by the Technology development Program(S2673714) funded by the Ministry of SMEs and Startups(MSS, Korea) 2. 이 논문은 2018 년도 한남대학교 교비학술연구조성비 지원에 의해 연구되었음

Table 1 . Changes Mean, Peak, Maximum End-diastolic Velocity in Cerebral Blood Flow Velocity of Middle Cerebral Artery

Variables	Middle cerebral artery velocity				F	
	Rest	5min	10min	Post 10min		
Mean (cm/s)	CON	57.22±9.09	63.33±12.11 ^a	69.67±19.83 ^a	56.01±7.69	T:33.304*** T×G:1.843 G:3.903*
	65° R	56.78±9.53	75.69±14.09 ^{ab}	87.59±20.61 ^{ab}	60.16±9.66	
	30° R	59.16±9.80	76.17±8.52 ^{ab}	88.30±18.06 ^{ab}	61.17±8.35	
Peak (cm/s)	CON	97.16±9.84	115.96±28.51 ^a	131.62±41.89 ^a	98.74±15.54	T:30.647*** T×G:0.635 G:0.375
	65° R	94.22±16.18	126.46±25.38 ^a	142.74±29.07 ^a	102.46±17.31	
	30° R	95.26±13.77	129.06±14.12 ^a	138.95±22.20 ^a	101.10±9.70	
EDV (cm/s)	CON	41.76±7.66	43.40±8.04 ^a	48.51±12.39 ^a	41.77±5.39	T:17.814*** T×G:1.803 G:1.37777
	65° R	38.80±7.30	50.68±9.32 ^a	61.39±14.25 ^a	43.36±7.65	
	30° R	41.94±7.55	49.83±6.77 ^a	57.12±10.23 ^a	41.94±7.36	

*p<.05, ***p<.001

aSignificantly different from rest(p<.05)

bSignificantly different from control group(p<.05).

Table 2. Changes of Cardio Ankle Vascular Index(CAVI), Ankle Brachial Index (ABI) and Augmentation Index (Aix)

Variables	Arterial stiffness			F	
	Rest	Post	Post 10min		
CAVI	CON	5.65±0.50	5.09±0.97 ^a	4.95±0.51 ^a	T:14.939*** T×G:0.670 G:1.691
	65° R	6.25±1.57	5.30±0.59 ^a	5.38±0.52 ^a	
	30° R	5.94±1.16	5.02±0.63 ^a	5.25±0.59 ^a	
ABI	CON	1.14±0.05	0.94±0.11 ^a	1.09±0.09	T:61.801*** T×G:3.919** G:1.140
	65° R	1.13±0.09	1.03±0.08 ^{ab}	1.13±0.10	
	30° R	1.09±0.07	1.01±0.10 ^a	1.12±0.09	
Aix	CON	0.73±0.09	0.83±0.10 ^a	0.84±0.15	T:4.107* T×G:3.544 G:1.452
	65° R	0.76±0.06	0.78±0.14 ^a	0.68±0.10 ^b	
	30° R	0.76±0.07	0.80±0.16 ^a	0.74±0.19	

*p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

aSignificantly different from rest(p<.05)

bSignificantly different from control group(p<.05)

Table 3 . Changes Of Rate Pressure Product

Variables	Rest	Post	F
RPP (mmHg×bpm)	CON 12019.41 ±2033.33	24186.76 ±4322.52 ^a	T:74.792*** T×G:26.268* G:14.298*
	65° R 10988.00 ±1406.84	18446.53 ±2407.45 ^{ab}	
	30° R 10769.71 ±1830.53	17639.53 ±2474.61 ^{ab}	

RPP: rate pressure product

*p<.05, ***p<.001

^aSignificantly different from rest(p<.05)

^bSignificantly different from control group(p<.05)